

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/772,189	01/29/2001	Sheldon Sturgis	13578.1US01	13578.1US01 9119		
23552	7590 07/28/2005		EXAMINER			
MERCHANT & GOULD PC			PASCUA, JES F			
P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		3727			
-			DATE MAILED: 07/28/200	DATE MAILED: 07/28/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		•			$\mathcal{C}$		
		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/772,18	39	STURGIS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Jes F. Pas	scua	3727			
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communicator Reply	tion appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence addr	ess		
THE - External after of the control	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3'r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) do period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever action. ays, a reply within the statury period will apply and will by statute, cause the appl	ent, however, may a reply be tilutory minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this come (Company)	munication.		
Status			•				
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	on <i>25 Mav 2005</i> .			•		
·	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,5-12,15,17-21 and 23 is/are  4a) Of the above claim(s) 17-21 is/are w  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1,5-12,15 and 23 is/are rejected  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from cor	nsideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the E The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 July 2001</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	are: a) accepted acce	e held in abeyance. Se ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). pjected to. See 37 CFR			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have bee cuments have bee he priority docume Bureau (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Applicat ents have been receiv e 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National St	tage		
Attachmer	nt(s)						
1) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary				
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO er No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:		52)		

Art Unit: 3727

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the additional material attached to the bag adjacent a handle wherein the handle is attached to the outer surface of the bag and does not extend through the sidewalls of the bag (claim 8) and the zipper closure disposed on the end edge (claims 23) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 3. Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification, as originally filed, lacks antecedent basis for additional material attached to the bag adjacent a handle wherein the handle is attached to the outer surface of the bag and does not extend through the sidewalls of the bag. This is a new matter rejection.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 8, the relationship and association between the additional material attached to the bag adjacent a handle that is attached to the outer surface of the bag and does not extend through the sidewalls of the bag has not been defined.

Application/Control Number: 09/772,189 Page 4

Art Unit: 3727

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claims 1, 5 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Etesse (European Patent Application No. 0 630 822 A1, previously cited).

As a note, the composite material disclosed to form the Etesse bag inherently meets the recitation of "additional material being attached to the bag adjacent to the handle".

8. Claims 1, 5-7,15 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Onishi (Japanese Patent No. 0023955, previously cited).

Aperture 10 of Onishi meets applicant's recited structure for the "handle".

9. Claims 1 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Weisberg.

Outer panels 5a of Weisberg meet applicant's recited structure of "additional material" and "handle attached to the outer surface of the".

Application/Control Number: 09/772,189 Page 5

Art Unit: 3727

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 6-7 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Etesse and Onishi.

Etesse discloses the claimed device except for the handling hole having a ring.

Onishi discloses that it is known to provide a ring to an analogous handling hole. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the handling hole of Mochizuki with the ring of Onishi, in order to reinforce the handling hole.

#### Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 5-12, 15 and 23 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

13. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3727

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jes F. Pascua whose telephone number is 571-272-4546. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J. Newhouse can be reached on 571-272-4544. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/772,189

Art Unit: 3727

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jes F. Pascua Primary Examiner Art Unit 3727

**JFP**